An Introduction to SFC Models WP5 Seminar Series

Marco Veronese Passarella 10/06/2022



A Just Transition to Circular Economy



1 The State of Macroeconomics







The JUST2CE project has received funding

from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003491

Issues with standard models

Increasing dissatisfaction with standard (DSGE) macroeconomic models (Blanchard, Krugman, Mankiw, Romer, Solow, Wren-Lewis, etc.)

Three main weaknesses:

- a) Unrealistic assumptions (full rationality, etc.) and irrelevance (no financial markets, no banks, no social classes, no ecosystem)
- b) Poor data fit, outclassed by other models in the S/R and useless for M/R forecasts, as crises are ruled out
- c) Internal consistency issues (aggregate production function, etc.)







Countermand!

model and an explicit role for forward-looking expectations. A weakness of DSGE models is that they often do not fit the data as well as other models, and the causal mechanisms do not always correspond to how economists and policymakers think the economy really works. In order to more easily manage these models, they typically focus on only a few key variables, which can limit the range of situations where they are useful.

The key strength of full-system econometric models like MARTIN is that they are flexible enough to incorporate the causal mechanisms that policymakers believe are important and fit the observable relationships in the data reasonably well. They can also be applied very broadly to model a wide range of variables. This flexibility reflects that the model is not derived from a single theoretical framework, which can make causal mechanisms less clear than in DSGE models. The model might capture an empirical relationship that exists in the data, but the cause of this might not be well understood. This means that developments may be more difficult to interpret and assumptions may need to be made about the mechanisms that are at work. If the true causal mechanisms are

Excerpt from Reserve Bank of Australia, March 2018 Bulletin





Looking for alternate models

- The Bank of England (2016) and the Italian Ministry of Economy and Finance (2022) have developed their own SFC models.
- Researchers of the Bank of Italy have released a new R package, named **<u>Bimets</u>**, for the analysis of time series and macroeconometric modelling.
- **Bimets allows defining, estimating and simulating** simultaneous equation models, including SFC models (see Canelli et al. 2021, 2022).

(a) Real growth rate after shock 160 S 155.6% 140 Observe % --- Pre-shock forecast 120 Post-shock forecast -- Pre-shock foreca Post-shock forecas 103.9% 8 -8.9% -10 2025 2015

(c) Sankey diagram of transactions-flow matrix, Italy 2020



My elaborations on Canelli et al. 2022







2 SFC Models in Macroeconomics







The JUST2CE project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020

research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003491

PKE presuppositions

Keynesian School of Economics (PKE, see also here).

Presuppositions of PKE, hence most SFC models, are:

- 1. Realism: instead of instrumentalism
- 2. Olism (or organicism): instead of individualism (and atomism)
- 3. Reproduction conditions: instead of optimal allocation and subjective scarcity
- Principle of effective demand: output is demand-driven both in the short- and log-run 4.
- 5. Quantity adjustment: supplies adjust to demanded quantities (but price-adjustment in stock market)
- Reasonable rationality: because of uncertainty, agents rely on rules of thumb, routines 6. and conventions. No maximization and no (hyper) rational expectations

- SFC models are a family of macroeconomic models developed by the broadly-defined post-





Additional PKE presuppositions

- 7. Multiple equilibria and path-dependency: no natural level of output or employment. Longrun is just a \sum of short runs. Today's position depends on past positions
- 8. Investment priority: investment generates saving, not vice versa
- 9. Money endogeneity: money does not fall from the sky. Firms demand loans to finance production and fund investment plans. Loans generate deposits, not vice versa
- 10. Financial assets are not perfect substitutes: capital mobility is usually assumed, but there is no tendency for the rates of return to level out
- 11. No (or limited) subsitutability of inputs: dedicate equipment, and we cannot replace nature with «capital»
- 12. Power matters: income distribution is not defined by marginal productivities. It depends on social, institutional and political factors







JUST2CE project has received funding om the European Union's Horizon 2020 esearch and innovation programme unde

The inception of SFC models

- Robert Lucas argued that: "The [Global Financial] crisis was not predicted because economic theory predicts that such events cannot be predicted" (*The Economist*, 6/08/2009).
- But <u>Wynne Godley</u> saw US crises coming in 2001 and 2007, using formal (SFC) models:

associated with seven unsustainable processes (Godley 1999)

Godley (and the *Cambridge Economic Policy Group*) built upon the works of:

- Morris Copeland (1949): integrates national income identities with flow of funds through the quadruple accounting principle
- James Tobin (1981,1982) and the Yale Group: Keynesian theory and portfolio equations (as functions of expected return rates and liquidity preference)

- There could be a further year or more of robust expansion ... [but] current growth is





Basic principles

SFC models are based on national accounts and flow of funds. They are explicitly designed to meet four accounting principles:

- b) agent or sector B
- c) S-F consistency > flows affect stocks. Capital gains (losses) must be recorded too
- d) Quadruple book-keeping \blacktriangleright every transaction entails four different entries:
 - An outflow (e.g. a household purchases an item)
 - An inflow (e.g. a firm sales the item)
 - A reduction in assets or an increase in liabilities (e.g. household's cash and/or deposits reduce)
 - An increase in assets or a reduction in liabilities (e.g. firm's cash and or deposits increase)

a) Flow consistency > every transaction comes from somewhere and goes to somewhere Stock consistency > a liability issued by agent or sector A is held as a financial asset by







Integrating finance and production

- Godley and Lavoie (2007) incorporated these principles into a model of a monetary production economy, where the supply of money is endogenous and behavioural equations respond to PKE precepts.
- Dos Santos (2006) named it the stock-flow consistent (SFC) approach to macroeconomics (but the label is controversial).
- It allows integrating the financial and the real side of the economy, thus tracking stock-flow ratios and identifying un-sustainable processes (e.g. a growing debt/income ratio).
- SFC approaches are well-known outside economics. Ecological economics and hard scientists use them to assess the impact of anthropic activities on the ecosystem.
- Besides, there is an increasing interest from central banks (e.g. Burgess et al. 2016) and world-leading economists (see, for instance, Simon Wray-Lewis vs Martin Wolf).





Accounting matrices

Accounting principles are incorporated in two matrices. The economy is split into a number of sectors (typically, households, non-financial firms, commercial banks, central bank, government, and the foreign sector)

- and financial liabilities of each macro-sector.
- sectoral flow-of-funds accounting.

SFC models are made up of two components: accounting matrices and dynamic equations.

• The balance sheet (BS) displays tangible stocks (fixed capital, housing), financial stocks

The transactions-flow matrix (TFM) shows financial flows associated with stocks and sectoral budget constraints. It combines the national income equations (identities) with





The balance sheet

Assets and liabilities (stocks)

	Households	Firms	Banks	Central Bank	Government		Σ
Cash	$+H_h$			$-H_s$			0
Deposits	$+M_h$		$-M_s$		Consistency	/ across sectors	0
Loans		$-L_f$	$+L_s$				0
Bills	$+B_h$			$+B_{cb}$	$-B_s$		0
Capital		+K					+K
			Accumulatio	n of fixed cap	ital		0
Balance (net worth)	$-V_h$	$\pm V_f$	0	0	$+V_g$		$-\Sigma V$
Σ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes an asset; a '-' denotes a liability.

Consistency within sectors

Economic sectors or agents





The transactions-flow matrix

Transactions and Δ in stocks

	Housobolds	Firms		
	Tiousenoius	Current	Capital	
Consumption	-С	+C		
Investment		+I	-I	
Wages	+WB	-WB		
Interests on loans		$-r_{l,-1}$ · $L_{f,-1}$		
∆ in cash	$-\Delta H_h$			
∆ in loans			$+\Delta L_f$	
Σ	0	0	0	

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes a receipt or a source of funds; a '-' denotes a payment or a use of funds.







Identities and behavioural equations

the model:

- Identity: accounting definition, which is always true. Example: $Y \equiv C + I + G + X M$
- Equilibrium condition: adjustment mechanism that matches demand with supply Example: $M_s = M_d$
- Behavioural (or stochastic) equation: defines the behaviour of a certain variable Example: $C = c_0 + c_1 \cdot Y$

What are behavioural equations based upon (in SFC models)?

income ratio, etc.)

BS and TFM allow deriving the first set of model equations, namely accounting identities. Identities are then coupled with equilibrium conditions and behavioural equations to close

- No maximisation. Agents have stock-flow targets instead (wealth-to-income ratio, debt-to-







Theory and policy implications

- The long-run dynamics of SFC models is not predetermined by a supply-side exogenous attractor (e.g. NAIRU). It is (partially) constrained by the accounting structure instead.
- While Godley was quite confident about the constraining power of an accurate accounting structure, the log-run dynamics of SFC models is defined also by behavioural assumptions.
- SFC modellers usually assume that production, income and employment are demand-led both in the short- and long-run.
- Policy corollary 1: fiscal policies can imply long-lasting effects. Besides, they are usually more effective than monetary policies.
- Policy corollary 2: monetary policies can bring about paradoxical and counter-intuitive effects (e.g. impact of change in interest rate on Model PC).







Solution and identification

- Standard SFC models are medium-scale macro-econometric dynamic models (≈ 30 to 150 eq.s). But they can be meso- (Input-Output SFC) or micro-founded (Agent-Based SFC).
- Usually formulated in discrete time (difference equations), but they can also be developed in continuous time (differential equations).
- The simplest models can be solved analytically (by finding steady-state solutions). More advanced models must be solved through computer simulations.
- Coefficients can be:
 - estimated from observed data (using econometric methods: OLS, cointegration, etc.) a)
 - calibrated to match the data, based on previous studies or selected from a reasonable b) range of values
- c) fine-tuned to obtain a specific baseline scenario





Box 1 How to install R and run the model

- Download and install R (free software) a)
- Download and install <u>*R-Studio Desktop*</u> (free version)
- Get familiar with R using the Cheat Sheet
- Download my toy models from <u>marxianomics</u> (or from my <u>github</u> repository)
- Copy and paste the .txt code in the main R field (top-left)
- Run the code by clicking Source
- Check model variables (Data) and coefficients (Values) in the top-right field, named **Global Environment**
- Charts are displayed in the Plots field (bottom-right)







3 A simple SFCM with fiat money







The JUST2CE project has received funding

from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003491

Model PC: assumptions

This is a model developed in chapter 4 of Godley and Lavoie (2007). PC stands for portfolio choice, because households can hold their wealth in terms of cash and/or government bills.

Key assumptions are as follows:

- Closed economy
- Four agents: households, "firms", government, central bank
- Two financial assets: government bills and outside money (cash)
- No investment (accumulation) and no inventories
- Fixed prices and zero net profits
- No banks, no inside money (bank deposits)
- No ecosystem





Box 2 Steps for developing a SFC model

- 1. Identify sectors to be modelled (households, firms, etc.)
- 2. Create balance-sheet (BS) of the economy
- 3. Create transactions-flow matrix (TFM)
- 4. Write down identities from the TFM
 i. Use columns to derive budget constraints
 ii. Use also rows with multiple entries
 iii. Identify buffer variables
 5. Define behavioural equations and equilibrium conditions





Model PC: balance-s



Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes an asset; a '-' denotes a liability.

he			
)	Central Bank	Government	Σ
	$-H_s$	Equatio	on (10)
	$+B_{cb}$	$-B_s$	0
		$+V_g$	0
	0	0	0





Model PC: transactions-flow matrix

	Households	Firms (productio	on) Banks	Central Bank	Government	Σ
Consumption	-С	+C				0
Gov. spending		+G	Equation (1)		-G	0
Income=GDP	+Y	-Y				0
Interest payments	$+r_{-1} \cdot B_{h,-1}$			$+r_{-1} \cdot B_{cb,-1}$	$-r_{-1} \cdot B_{s,-1}$	0
CB profits				$-r_{-1} \cdot B_{cb,-1}$	$+r_{-1} \cdot B_{cb,-1}$	0
Taxes	-T				+T	0
Δ in cash	$-\Delta H_h$			$+\Delta H_s$		0
Δ in bills	$-\Delta B_h$			$-\Delta B_{cb}$	$+\Delta B_s$	0
Σ	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes a receipt or a source of funds; a '-' denotes a payment or a use of funds





Model PC: equations

National income:	Y = C + G	(1)
Disposable income:	$YD = Y - T + r_{-1} \cdot B_{h,-1}$	(2)
Tax revenue:	$T = \theta \cdot (Y + r_{-1} \cdot B_{h,-1})$	(3)
Household wealth:	$V_h = V_{h,-1} + YD - C$	(4)
Consumption:	$C = \alpha_1 \cdot YD + \alpha_2 \cdot V_{-1}$	(5)
Cash held by households:	$H_h = V_h - B_h$	(6)
Bills held by households:	$B_h = \lambda_0 \cdot V_h + \lambda_1 \cdot V_h \cdot r - \lambda_2 \cdot YD$	(7)
[Cash held by households:	$H_h = (1 - \lambda_0) \cdot V_h - \lambda_1 \cdot V_h \cdot r + \lambda_2 \cdot YD$	(6A)]
Supply of bills:	$B_{s} = B_{s,-1} + G - T + r_{-1} \cdot (B_{s,-1} - B_{cb,-1})$	(8)
Supply of cash:	$H_s = H_{s,-1} + \Delta B_{cb}$	(9)
Bills held by the central bank:	$B_{cb} = B_s - B_h$	(10)
Interest rate:	$r = \bar{r}$	(11)
Redundant equation:	$H_h = H_s$	

Identity Equilibrium condition Behavioural equation





Model PC: dynamics



Tip: how to find the quasi steady-state

Notice that C = YD and $B_{h,-1} =$ B_h in steady state. Use C = YDin equation (1), from which: $Y^* =$ YD + G. Next, use equations (2) and (3) in Y, from which: $Y^* =$ $(Y^* + r \cdot B_h^*) \cdot (1 - \theta) + G$. Next, solve for Y^* .



G = 20r = 0.025 $\theta = 0.2$ $B_h^* \sim 64.87$

$Y^* \sim 106.49$





Model PC: BS steady-state values

	Households	Firms (production)	Central Bank	Government	Σ
Money (cash)	+21.62		-21.62		0
Bills	+64.87		+21.62	-86.49	0
Balance (net worth)	-86.49			+86.49	0
Σ	0	0	0	0	0

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes an asset; a '-' denotes a liability.







Model PC: TFM steady-state values

	Households	Firms (production)	Banks	Central Bank	Government	Σ
Consumption	-86.49	+86.49				0
Gov. spending		+20			-20	0
Income=GDP	+106.49	-106.49				0
Interest payments	+1.62			+0.54	-2.16	0
CB profits				-0.54	+0.54	0
Taxes	-21.62				+21.62	0
Δ in cash	0			0		0
Δ in bills	0			0	0	0
Σ	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes a receipt or a source of funds; a '-' denotes a payment or a use of funds





Model PC: Sankey diagram (t=5)

Households outflow

Government outflow

CB outflow

Firms outflow











Model PC: experiment

Higher interest rate on government bonds: $r = 0.025 \rightarrow 0.035$





Figure 2 Evolution of national income following increase in interest rate

> Exogenous propensity to consume Endogenous propensity to consume Baseline value

20 30 40 Time

Scenario «blue» Additional equation: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{12} \cdot r$ *Coefficient values:* $\alpha_{11} = 0.65$ $\alpha_{12} = 2$





Box 3 Simulating a SFC model

Steps in simulating a SFC model:

- 1. Run the model
- 2. Check model consistency by using the redundant equation
- Validate results through auto- and cross-correlation analysis of key variables under the baseline
- Check robustness of findings through sensitivity tests (changing key parameters)
- 5. Shock key coefficients to obtain alternative scenarios
- 6. Compare with baseline results (comparative dynamics)





4 A simple SFCM with bank money









Model BMW: assumptions

This is a model developed in chapter 7 of Godley and Lavoie (2007). BMW stands for bankmoney world, because there is only one kind of *financial* assets: bank deposits held by households. Firms' investment in fixed capital is (partially) funded by bank loans.

Key assumptions are as follows:

- Closed economy and no ecosystem
- Three agents: households, firms, banks
- A/L: loans, deposits, tangible (or fixed) capital
- Investment funded by loans and internal funds
- Target capital to output ratio
- Fixed prices and zero net profits
- No State, no outside money (cash)





Model BMW: balance-sheet

	Households	Ρ
Deposits	$+M_h$	
Loans		
Fixed capital		
Balance (net worth)	$-V_h$	
Σ	0	

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes an asset; a '-' denotes a liability.

Tip: unlike a financial asset, a real or tangible asset (K) is not matched by a liability, because it is not a claim of someone against someone else!







Model BMW: transactions-flow matrix

Production firms

	Households		
	TIOUSETIOIUS	Current	C
Consumption	$-C_d$	$+C_s$	
Investment		$+I_d$	
[Production]		[Y]	
Wages	+WB	-WB	
Depreciation		-AF	+
Int. on loans		$-r_{l,-1} \cdot L_{f,-1}$	
Int. on deposits	$+r_{m,-1}\cdot M_{h,-1}$		
Δ in loans			ł
Δ in deposits	$-\Delta M_h$		
Σ	0	0	
Notes: A '+' be	fore a magni	tude denotes	a r
payment or a us	e of funds		









Model BMW: equations

Supply of consumption goods:	$C_s = C_d$
Supply of investment goods:	$I_s = I_d$
Labour supply:	$N_s = N_d$
Supply of loans:	$L_s = L_{s,-}$
Total gross production:	$Y = C_s +$
Wage bill (as residual income):	$WB_d = Y$
Amortisation funds:	$AF = \delta \cdot$
Demand for loans:	$L_d = L_{d,.}$
Disposable income:	YD = W
Deposits held by households:	$M_h = M_h$
Supply of deposits:	$M_s = M_s$

$$(3)$$

$$(-1) + \Delta L_d$$

$$(4)$$

$$(-1) + I_s$$

$$(5)$$

$$(-1) + I_{d,-1} - AF$$

$$(6)$$

$$(7)$$

$$(-1) + I_d - AF$$

$$(8)$$

$$(8)$$

$$(8)$$

$$(8) + r_{m,-1} \cdot M_{d,-1}$$

$$(9)$$

$$(10)$$

$$(-1) + \Delta L_s$$

$$(11)$$

(1)

(2)

Identity Equilibrium condition Behavioural equation





Model BMW: equations (cont'd)

Return rate on deposits:	$r_m = r_l$
Wage bill:	$WB_s = v$
Demand for labour:	$N_d = Y/$
Wage rate:	w = WB
Consumption:	$C_d = \alpha_0$
Capital stock:	$K = K_{-1}$
Depreciation allowances:	$DA = \delta$
Target capital stock:	$K^T = \kappa \cdot$
Gross investment:	$I_d = \gamma \cdot q$
Interest rate on loans:	$r_l = \bar{r}_l$
Redundant equation:	$M_h = M_s$

(12)(13) $w \cdot N_s$ (14)'pr S_d/N_d (15) $+ \alpha_1 \cdot YD + \alpha_2 \cdot M_{h,-1}$ (16) $+ I_d - DA$ (17)(18) $\cdot K_{-1}$ (19) Y_{-1} $(K^T - K_{-1}) + DA$ (20)(21)





Model BMW: dynamics



Tip: how to find the steady-state

Use equations (1), (2), (16) and (20) in Y identity, that is, equation (5). Next, use equation (9) in Yand equation (6) in equation (9). Notice that $K = K^T = \kappa \cdot Y$ and M = L = K, under steady state. Replace variables with respective equations and solve for Y^* .

Stationary (steady-state) solution: $Y^* = \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_1) \cdot (1 - \delta \cdot \kappa) - \alpha_2 \cdot \kappa}$

Figure 3 Evolution of national income following initial autonomous consumption





 $\alpha_0 = 12$ $\alpha_1 = 0.75$ $\alpha_2 = 0.40$ $\delta = 0.15$ $\kappa = 1$

 $Y^* = 96$





A Just Transition to Circular Economy



Model BMW: BS steady-state values

	Households	Production firms	Banks	Σ
Deposits	+96		-96	0
Loans		-96	+96	0
Fixed capital		+96		+96
Balance (net worth)	-96	0	0	-96
Σ	0	0	0	0

Notes: A '+' before a magnitude denotes an asset; a '-' denotes a liability.





Model BMW: TFM steady-state values

~	ks	Bank	on firms	Productio	Household s	
2	Capital	Current	Capital	Current		
0				+86.4	-86.4	Consumption
0			-9.6	+9.6		Investment
				[+96]		[Production]
0				-82.56	+82.56	Wages
0			+9.6	-9.6		Depreciation
0		+3.84		-3.84		Int. on loans
0		-3.84			+3.84	Int. on deposits
0	0		0			Δ in loans
0	0				0	Δ in deposits
0	0	0	0	0	0	Σ
ב	unds; a '–' d	a source of fu	s a receipt or	ude denotes	o fore a magni se of funds	Notes: A '+' be payment or a us





Model BMW: Sankey diagram (t=5)

Firms outflow Households outflow Banks outflow

Wages

Households inflow

Investment

Depreciation

Consumption

Firms inflow

Interests on loans

Deposits (change)

Interests on deposits

Loans (change)









Model BMW: experiment

Higher/lower target capital to output ratio: $\kappa_0 = 1$, $\kappa_1 = 1.1$, $\kappa_2 = 0.9$



Figure 4 Evolution of national income

5 Ecological SFC Models







The JUST2CE project has received funding

from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003491

Ecological macroeconomics

- "Ecological macroeconomics is an emerging interdisciplinary field that examines the macroeconomy as part of the ecosystem, taking explicitly into account the biophysical limits of a finite planet" (Dafermos et al. 2017, p. 191).
- SFC ecological macroeconomics models augment conventional SFC macroeconomic models with a sound physical stock-flow accounting (inspired by the pioneering work of Georgescu-Roegen).
- This allows examining the impact of economic and financial activities on the ecosystem as well as the impact of global warming, pollution and hazardous waste on social, economic and financial variables.
- In line with the post-Keynesian tradition, production is demand-led. However, supply-side constraints arising from environmental changes are also considered.







Ecological accounting

Conventional SFC matrices are associated with two physical matrices:

- economic stock.

Taken together, these two matrices provide the accounting structure for the ecosystem equations.

• The physical flow matrix: accounting for the First and the Second Law of Thermodynamics. Matter and energy are transformed (not created or destroyed) by the economic process.

 The physical stock-flow matrix: defining the change in the stocks of things that directly influence human activities, e.g. natural reserves of matter and energy, and the socio-





Physical flow matrix

Inputs

Extracted matter

Renewable energy

Non-renewable energy

Oxygen

Outputs

Industrial emissions

Waste

Dissipated energy

Change in socio-economic stock

Σ

Source: Dafermos et al. 2017

Notes: Matter is measured in Gt while energy is measured in EJ. A '+' sign denotes inputs in the socioeconomic system, whereas '-' denotes outputs.

Material balance	Energy balance	
+MAT		
	+ER	
+CEN	+EN	
$+0_{2}$		
$-EMIS_{in}$		
-WA		
	-ED	
$-\Delta K_{se}$		
0	0	







Physical stock-flow matrix

	Material reserves	Energy reserves	Atmospheric CO ₂ concentration	Socio-economic stock	Hazardous waste
Initial stock	<i>K</i> _{<i>m</i>,-1}	$K_{en,-1}$	CO _{2AT,-1}	$K_{se,-1}$	HWS_1
Resources converted into reserves	$+CONV_m$	$+CONV_{en}$			
CO ₂ emissions (global)			+EMIS		
Production of material goods				$+Y_{mat}$	
Non-recycled hazardous waste					$+haz \cdot WA$
Extraction/use of matter/energy	-MAT	-EN			
Net transfer to oceans/biosphere			$+TR^*$		
Demolition of socio-economic stock				-DES	
Final stock	K _m	K _{en}	$CO_{2_{AT}}$	K _{se}	HWS

Source: Dafermos et al. 2017

'-' denotes reductions; * $TR = +(\phi_{11}-1) \cdot CO_{2_{AT},-1} + \phi_{21} \cdot CO_{2_{UP},-1}$.

Notes: Matter is measured in Gt while energy is measured in EJ. A '+' sign denotes additions to the opening stock, whereas



Emissions, depletion, waste and damages





Selected references

KEY READINGS

- W. Godley and M. Lavoie (2007). *Monetary Economics. An Integrated Approach to Credit, Money, Income, Production and Wealth*. Palgrave Macmillan, chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 7.
- M. Lavoie (2014). Post-Keynesian Economics: New Foundations. Edward Elgar, chapter 1.

ADDITIONAL READINGS

- W. Godley (1999). Seven Unsustainable Processes. Levy Institute Strategic Analysis, January 1999.
 Y. Dafermos, M. Nikolaidi and G. Galanis (2017). A Stock-Flow-Fund Ecological Macroeconomic
- Y. Dafermos, M. Nikolaidi and G. Galanis (201 Model. *Ecological Economics*, 131, 191-207.
- C.H. Dos Santos (2006). Keynesian Theorising During Hard Times: Stock-Flow Consistent Models as an Unexplored 'Frontier' of Keynesian Macroeconomics. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 30 (4), 541-565.
- M. Nikiforos and G. Zezza (2017). Stock-Flow Consistent macroeconomic Models: A Survey. Journal of Economic Surveys, 31 (5), 1204-1239.







Useful web resources for SFC modellers

- Antoine Godin: <u>http://www.antoinegodin.eu/</u>
- Karsten Kohler: <u>https://karstenkohler.com/</u>
- Joao Macalos: <u>https://joaomacalos.github.io/sfcr/index.html</u>
- Marco Veronese Passarella: <u>https://www.marcopassarella.it/en/teaching-2/</u>
- Gennaro Zezza: <u>http://sfc-models.net/people/gennaro-zezza/</u>

Yannis Dafermos and Maria Nikolaidi: https://yannisdafermos.com/sfc-modelling/





Thanks Marco Veronese Passarella https://www.marcopassarella.it/en/



